ED 296 439	EA 020 048
TITLE	Education in the States. Volume I: State Education Indicators.
INSTITUTION	Council of Chief State School Officers, Washington, D.C.
PUB DATE NOTE	87 49p.
AVAILABLE FROM	Publications, State Education Assessment Center, Council of Chief State School Officers, 400 N. Capitol Street N.W., Suite 379, Washington, DC (\$10.50; quantity discounts).
PUB TYPE	Reports - Research/Technical (143) Statistical Data (110)
EDRS PRICE DESCRIPTORS	MF01 Plus Postage. PC Not Available from EDRS. Comparative Analysis; *Demography; Educational Demand; *Educational Economics; Educational Needs; Educational Status Comparison; Educational Supply; *Educational Trends; Elementary Secondary Education; Employment Patterns; Fiscal Capacity; Instructional Student Costs; Population Distribution; Population Trends; Resource Allocation; *School Demography; *School Statistics; State School District

Relationship; \*State Surveys

#### ABSTRACT

This report represents the beginning of an effort by ch'ef state school officers to compile information systematically on the states' educational programs and to report that information regularly to the public and their policymakers. This year, the report emphasizes demographic and fiscal background information bearing on the states' education systems. The first section provides a state-by-state breakdown of state school system demographics, including estimated school-age population, change, percent of total population, and change in percent of total population, projected from the 1970 and 1980 Census. The second section lists general population characteristics for each state, including per-capita income, educational attainment of adults, and percent voting. The third section focuses on financial resources of each state, including gross state product per school-age child and relative tax capacity index. The fourth section provides statistics on student needs, based on the school-age population in poverty and the percent of K-12 enrollments in private schools. The final section provides data collected from questionnaires on the features of the states' educational programs. Included are comparative statistics on instructional time, school participation, teacher preparation and certification, and effective schooling programs. Color-coded maps are provided to illustrate each of these tables. A final section addresses gaps in the data presented and describes future efforts to obtain data on educational outcomes. (TE)



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION Office of Educational Research and Improvement

EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it D Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality

Points of view or opinions stated in this docu-ment do not necessarily represent officiat OERt position or policy

"PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE ONLY HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

EA

Pierce

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES **INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)."** 

# Education In The States

Volume I: State Education Indicators 1987

**Council of Chief State School Officers** 

540000 048

ED296439

The Council of Chief State School Officers (CCSSO) is a nationwide non-profit organization of the 57 public officials who head departments of public education in every state, U.S. Territory, and the District of Columbia. CCSSO seeks its members' consensus on major education issues and expresses their views to civic and professional organizations, to federal agencies, to Congress, and to the public. Through its structure of standing and special committees, the Council responds to a broad range of concerns about education and provides leadership on major education issues.

Because the Council represents the chief education administrator in each state and territory, it has access to the educational and governmental establishment in each state, and the national influence that accompanies this unique position. CCSSO forms coalitions with many other education organizations, and is able to provide leadership for a variety of policy concerns that affect elementary and secondary education. Thus, CCSSO members are able to act cooperatively on matters vital to the education of America's young people.

The State Education Assessment Center was founded by CCSSO in 1985 to provide a locus for leadership by the states to improve the monitoring and assessment of education. This is the principal report of the Assessment Center's program of indicators on education.

Council of Chief State School Officers

Verne A. Duncan (Oregon), President

Richard A. Boyd (Mississippi), Chair Committee on Coordinating Educational Information and Research

Gordon M. Ambach, Executive Director

Ramsay Selden, Director State Education Assessment Center

Council of Chief State School Officers 379 Hall of States 400 North Capitol Street, N.W Washington, D.C. 20001 (202) 624-7700

Second Printing, February 1988 Copyright © 1987 by the Council of Chief State School Officers



### **Education In The States** Volume I: State Education Indicators





## Acknowledgements

The effort of staff of the states' education agencies in providing these data is recognized and appreciated, but does not obviate our responsibility for their accuracy. The leadership of the National Governors' Association in reporting data on education in the states is acknowledged and also appreciated, as were the comments of NGA Staff and Staff of the U.S. Department of Education on the contents of this report. The extra effort of all of the staff of the CCSSO State Education Assessment Center in producing this report is warmly appreciated. Finally, the efforts of the advisory networks to the State Education Assessment Center, chaired by Irene Bandy of Ohio and Anne Hess of Alabama, in planning and formulating this report is gratefully acknowledged, and the guidance and support of CCSSO's Committee on Coordinating Educational Information and Research, chaired by Richard A. Boyd of Mississippi, is recognized.



## Contents

Introduction
State Characteristics
School System Demographics
Population Characteristics
Resources
Student Needs
Educational Policies and Programs
Instructional Time
School Participation
Instructional Program
Teacher Preparation and Certification    23
Effective Schooling Programs
Educational Outcomes (A Note on Efforts for the Future)



## Introduction

The Purpose of this Report. Information is needed to monitor the dimensions of our educational system and to assess the quality of its accomplishments. This report represents the beginning of an effort by chief state school officers to compile information systematically on the states' educational programs and to report that information regularly to the public and their policymakers. The Council of Chief State School Officers has committed itself to state-by-state reporting of basic educational indicators. This is the first report in the Council's program on educational indicators, and it will be followed by annual summaries of the same, basic information in the future, expanded as other information becomes available.

Setting the Context: The Background for Education in the States. This year, the report emphasizes demographic and fiscal background information bearing on the states' education systems.

In monitoring education, it is important to set the context within which the schools operate:

- How large and complex are the school systems in the states?
- How urban or rural are the areas they serve?
- What are the characteristics of the populations they serve?
- What resources can the states bring to bear on education?
  What needs do students bring to the states' schools?

Setting the background is important so that, later on, fair and constructive comparisons can be made among the states on educational programs and accomplishments. Also, large gaps exist in the information base on education. These gaps will take time to fill. At present, little comparative information is available on the outcomes of education, such as student achievement or drop-out rates. Meanwhile, valid and comparable information does exist describing background conditions bearing on the educational programs of the states. It makes sense to use this information to describe the foundation upon which education operates.

## The CCSSO Program on Educa-

tional Indicators. The Council of Chief State School Officers is working toward reporting information on a comprehensive set of indicators designed to describe the states' educational systems. This report is the first of an annual series. Each year, data that are available on these indicators and that meet the program's standards of quality will be included.

To provide information that can be used constructively and that avoids simplistic and misleading comparisons, educational indicators must address three aspects of the educational system. First, obviously, are educational outcomes. These are the end products or accomplishments of the educational system. Ultimately, they will be multiple, representing the different goals of education: student attendance; achievement, school completion; and status after elementary and secondary schooling. Next, these outcomes must be related to state-level policies of the educational program—features of the educational program that can be changed for the better: instructional time; instructional content; effective schooling; teacher quality; resource allocation; and policies on program participation.

Finally, both outcomes and program policies and practices must be seen as occurring in the context of the state's background characteristics. These are beyond the management or control of the education system, at least over the short run. but they determine the needs and affect the resources and accomplishments of the system.

These indicators and the model in which they are seen as operating are displayed below.



Figure: CCSSO Indicators Model

In each of these three areas—the context or background for the states' educational programs, the states' educational policies and practices, and the outcomes of the states' educational programs—indicators are being assembled or developed and reported.

Because educational data vary in their quality and the appropriateness of the purpose to which they are put, CCSSO is applying rigid standards to the information used to report on these indicators. First, only information is used that is important and useful for monitoring education. Data are not used that are marginal in utility just because they are available. Second, only information is used that meets rigorous standards of technical quality. These standards include:

- the validity or appropriateness of the information for the purposes to which it is put,
- the reliability or stability of the information,
- the consistency of the information across reporting units, such as states, and
- the accuracy and completeness of the information.

Until data meet these standards, they are not used in these reports, even though there may be a demand for them. For example, statewide averages are available for college-admission tests, but this information is not an appropriate measure of student achievement in the states, and attendance data are available, but they are not measured consistently across states. As a result, neither of these indicators, in their present form, is included. Efforts are underway, however, to address these needs. The states are working with the federal government to prepare for state-by-state achievement testing in 1990, and recommendations are being prepared for standardizing attendance data.



1

The value of reporting educational data in a comprehensive model like this is that it enables useful comparisons to be made and provides clues to educational programs and policies that seem to make a difference. States can compare their status and progress with states facing similar circumstances, and policymakers can look at the program policies and practices o<sub>j</sub> high performing states. In and of themselves, indicators like these cannot prove that a program is effective or that a method is superior, but they can provide valuable comparative clues to consider with other data.

Next Steps. Building an adequate information base on education is a collaboration among many parties: the public and other users of information, local providers, data-collection agencies, and the states. In the future, reports like this one must be filled out with the important information that is not here: valid measures of teachers' professional abilities; followup data on what happens to students after they leave school; accurate measures of who finishes school and who does not; and data on the educational experiences provided to different groups, especially at-risk students. The years ahead will be difficult and will strain our resources as we both support educational services so important to our st. ngth as a society and invest in information that allows us to do a better job of managing our schools. It is crucial that we do both, and once we invest in the infor. nation we need, it will continue to pay back in efficiency and understanding worth far more than the investment.

### A Brief Note on the Information Included in this Report

### State Characteristics

School System Demographics. Estimated School Age Population, Change, Percent of Total Population, and Change in Percent of Total are based on estimates of persons aged 5-17 and all persons in each state, projected from the 1970 and the 1980 Census. Number of Districts is number of local school districts or supervisory union agencies. Average School Age Population per District is school age population for 1986 divided by number of districts. Percent Urban and Rural are the proportion of the state's population residing in central city jurisdictions of urbanized areas and in places of 2,500 or fewer, respectively, reported in the 1980 Census.

**Population Characteristics.** Per Capita Income is the total annual personal income of residents in the state divided by the number of residents as of July 1, 1986. Educational Attainment of Adults is the proportion of persons 25 years old and over who have completed four years of high school. Percent Voting is the propartion of the voting age population casting ballots for President or Congress in the years indicated. **Resources.** Gross State Product per School-Age Child is the total value of goods and services produced in the state divided by the population aged 5-17. Relative Tax Capacity Index is the per capita revenue the state would raise if it applied average rates to 26 common tax bases, indexed to an average of 100.

Student Needs. School-Age Population in Poverty is the proportion of persons aged 5-17 living in households with incomes below the poverty level. Percent private schools is percent private K-12 enrollments for 1980.

### Educational Policies and Programs

The features of the states' educational programs were collected through a questionnaire administered to the states during the summer of 1987. Explanations are provided with the maps and charts presenting the results of this survey.



# State Characteristics



# School System Demographics

Estimated School-Age				School Age Population: Percent of Change i					nge in	
07475	1070	Population	1000	Percent	Change	Tota	l Popul	ation	Percent	of Total
STATE	1976	1981	1986	1976-86	1981.86	1976	1981	1986	1976-86	1981.86
Alabama	903.000	845.000	820.000	-9.19	-2.96	24 18	21 51	20.24	-3.04	-1 07
Alaska	107,000	91,000	111.000	3.74	21.98	26.68	21.93	20.24	-5.89	-1.27
Arizona	554,000	574,000	629,000	13.54	9.58	23.61	20.38	18.95	-4.66	-1.43
Arkansas	506,000	484,000	472,000	-6.72	-2.48	23.32	21.04	19.90	-3.42	-1.14
California	4,614,000	4,617,000	4,874,000	5.64	5.57	21.03	19.03	18.06	-2.97	-0.97
Colorado	612,000	387,000	599,000	-2.12	2.04	23.25	19.67	18.33	-4.92	-1.34
Connecticut	720,000	613,000	549,000	-23.75	-10.44	23.35	19.63	17.22	-5.13	-2.41
Delaware	142,000	120,000	115,000	-19.01	-4.17	24.07	20.10	18.17	-5.9	-1.93
District of Columbia	143,000	101.000	91,000	-36.36	-9.90	20.241	16.01	14.54	-5.60	-1.47
FIUIUd	1,764,000	1,792,000	1,848,000	3.59	3.13	20.58	17.58	15.83	-4.75	-1.75
Georgia	1,252,000	1,215,000	1,245,000	-0.56	2.47	24.39	21.81	20.40	-3.99	-1.41
Hawaii	207,000	194,000	196,000	-5.31	1.03	22.90	19.80	18.46	-4.44	-1.34
	212,000	214,000	223,000	5.19	4.21	24.74	22.20	22.26	-2.48	0.06
Indiana	1 203 000	2,320,000	2,187,000	-17.22	-0.00	23.29	20.29	18.93	-4.36	-1.36
Indiana	1,230,000	1,102,000	1,004,000	-10.10	-0.71	20.99	21.17	19.69	-4.3	-1.48
lowa Kansas	685,000	584,000	543,000	-20.73	-7.02	23.60	20.01	19.05	-4.55	-0.96
Kentuckv	213,000	457,000	453,000	-11.70	-0.88	22.29	19.13	18.41	-3.88	-0.72
Louisiana	1.013.000	957 000	947,000	-6.52	-4.30	25.75	21.20	19.98	-3.77	-1.22
Maine	259,000	236.000	222.000	-14.29	-5.93	23.81	20.79	18.93	-4.0	-1.22
Mandard	4 000 000	,	,					10.00	4.00	-1,00
Massachusette	1,008,000	1 1 0 2 0 0 0	788,000	-21.83	-8.69	24.28	20,28	17.66	-6.62	-2.62
Michloan	2 260 000	1,103,000	1 800,000	-20.77	-12.90	22.82	19.17	16.46	-6.36	-2.71
Minnesota	971.000	833.000	786.000	-19.05	-5.64	24.70	21.09	19.70	-4.98	-1.91
Mississippi	618,000	586,000	583,000	-5.66	-0.51	25.43	23.03	22.21	-3.22	-0.82
Missouri	1.101.000	976.000	939 000	-14 71	-3 70	22 75	10 76	18.54	. 1 21	1 00
Montana	183,000	164,000	163.000	-10.93	-0.61	24.17	20.60	19.90	-4.21	-0.7
Nebraska	359,000	314,000	302,000	-15.88	-3.82	23.15	19.84	18.90	-4.25	-0.94
Nevada	150,000	163,000	167,000	11.33	2.45	23.18	19.27	17.34	-5.84	-1.93
New Hampshire	202,000	191,000	187,000	-7.43	-2.09	23.91	20.38	18.21	-5.7	-2.17
New Jersey	1,704,000	1,471,000	1,332,000	-21.83	-9.45	23.22	19.86	17.48	-5.74	-2.38
New Mexico	312,000	299,000	309,000	-0.96	3.34	26.24	22.40	20.89	-5.35	-1.51
New York	4,016,000	3,424,000	3,145,000	-21.69	-8.15	22.38	19.50	17.70	-4.68	-1.8
North Carolina	1,302,000	1,225,000	1,192,000	-8.45	-2.69	23.22	20.56	18.82	-4.4	-1.74
NOTIT Dakola	154,000	133,000	132,000	-14.29	-0.75	23.84	20.12	19.44	-4.4	-0.68
Ohio	2,541,000	2,229,000	2,075,000	-18.34	-6.91	23.63	20.64	19.30	-4.33	-1.34
Oregon	629,000	619,000 517,000	632,000	0.48	2.10	22.25	19.92	19.12	-3.13	-0.8
Pennsylvania	2 651 000	2 293 000	2 074 000	-7.49	-4.45	22.40	19.37	18.31	-4.15	-1.06
Puerto Rico <sup>1</sup>	978,0000	877,0000	862,000	-11.86	-9.55	30.43	27.05	26.13	-4.83	-1.85
Rhode Island	200.000	190.000	164 000	01 50	0.00	00.00	10.01	40.00		
South Carulina	719 000	180,000	682 000	-21.03	-0.09	22.09	18.91	16.82	-5.27	-2.09
South Dakota	164.000	141.000	138.600	-15.85	-2.13	23.91	21.03	10.20	-4.22	-1.43
Tennessee	1,002,000	954,000	923,000	-7.88	-3.25	23.05	20.56	19.22	-3.83	-1.34
Texas	3,102,000	3,165,000	3,435,000	10.74	8.53	24.04	21.43	20.59	-3.45	-0.84
Utah	326.000	365.000	431,000	32.21	18.08	25.57	24.08	25.89	0.32	1 81
Vermont	116,000	106,000	100,000	-13.79	-5.66	23.92	20.54	18.48	-5.44	-2.06
Virgin Islands <sup>1</sup>			_		-			-	-	
virginia Washington	1,195,000	1,083,000	1,030,000	-13.81	-4.89	23.33	19.90	17.80	-5.53	-2.1
washington	000,000	826,000	817,000	-4.56	-1.09	23.17	19.49	18.31	-4.86	-1.18
West Virginia	423,000	407,000	382,000	-9.69	-6.14	22.50	20.75	19.92	-2.58	-0.83
Wisconsin	1,129,000	980,000	914,000	-19.04	-6.73	24.56	20.69	19.10	-5.46	-1.59
vvyoming	95,000	104,000	107,000	12.63	2.88	23.93	21.05	21.10	-2.83	0.05
0			•			-				

ERIC provided by State Education Agency staff.

10 4

STATE	Number of Districts	1986 School-Age Population	1986 Average School-Age Population Per District	, STATE	1980 Percent Urban Popuration	1980 Percent Rural Population
Alahama	130	820 000	6.308	Alabama	20 10	30.06
Alaska	55	111 000	2018	Alaska	42.29	35.57
Arizona	218	629 000	2,885	Arizona	42.79	16.15
Arkansas	333	472 000	1 417	Arkansas	18.94	48.43
California	1,028	4,874,000	4,484	California	34.27	8.70
Colorado	176	599,000	3,384	Colorado	35.71	19.38
Connecticut	165	549,000	3,327	Delaware	32.30	21.17
Delaware	19	115,000	6,053	District of Columbia	100.00	29.40
District of Columbia	1	91,000	91,000	Florida	25.85	15.74
Florida	67	1,848,000	27,582	Georgia	10.84	37.60
Georgia	196	1 245 000	6 604	Hawaii	44.66	13.47
	100	1,245,000	106,000	Idaho	15.78	45.97
	110	190,000	196,000	Illinois	35.61	16.70
Idano	110	223,000	1,922	Indiana	28.07	35.79
	993	2,187,000	2,202			
Indiana	305	1,084,000	3,554	Iowa	23.16	41.39
				Kansas	18.91	33.33
lowa	436	543,000	1,245	Kentucky	15.68	49.14
Kansas	304	453,000	1,490	Louisiana	30.46	31.36
Kentucky	178	745,000	4,185	Maine	13.78	52.53
Louisiana	66	947,000	14,348			
Maine	282	222,000	787	Maryland	20.84	19.68
				Massachusetts	28.52	16.19
Maryland	24	788,000	32,833	Michigan	23.34	29.27
Massachusetts	396	960,000	2,424	Minnesota	21.20	33.15
Michigan	565	1,809,000	3,202	Mississippi	15.11	52.68
Minnesota	436	786,000	1,803	Missouri	24 61	21.97
Mississippi	154	583,000	3.786	Montana	10 05	47.01
••		•	-	Nebraska	30.96	37.07
Missouri	545	939,000	1,726	Nevada	33.13	14.75
Montana	549	163.000	297	New Hampshire	24.86	47.77
Nebraska	927	302.000	326			
Nevada	17	167.000	9.824	New Jersey	10.37	10.96
New Hampshire	169	187,000	1,107	New Mexico	32.69	27.86
			.,	New York	47.53	15.38
New Jersev	604	1 332 000	2 205	North Carolina	21.17	52.01
New Mexico	88	309,000	3 511	North Dakota	25.27	51.15
New York	728	3 145 000	4 320	Ohla	00.40	~~~~
North Carolina	140	1 192 000	8514	Olio	28.40	20.00
North Dakota	310	132,000	426	Oragon	29.00	32.73
North Dakota	510	132,000	420	Pennsylvania	22.00	30.71
Ohio	615	2 075 060	2 274	Puerto Bico	66 67	33.30
Oklahoma	634	2,073,000 632,000	007		00.07	00.00
Origina	206	404 000	997	Rhode Island	36.33	12.99
Bonovlyonia	500	494,000	1,014	South Carolina	11.72	45.90
Perinsylvania Ruosto Ricc	501	2,074,000	4,140	South Dakota	18.52	53.55
Puerto Rico	1	862,000	862,000	Tennessee	35.66	39.60
	40	104.000	4.400	Texas	46.45	20.35
Hnode Island	40	164,000	4,100			
South Carolina	92	682,000	7,413	Utah	24.23	15.61
South Dakota	193	138,000	715	Vermont	7.44	66.34
Tennessee	142	923,000	6,500	Virgin Islands'	39.07	60.93
Texas	1,068	3,435,000	3,216	Virginia Washington	22.20 27.49	33.98 26.50
Utah	40	431,000	10,775	Most Virsinia	10.05	60 70
Vermont	273	100,000	366	Wisconsin	12.00	03./9 25 21
Virgin Islands <sup>1</sup>	2		-	Wyoming	20.85	27.22
Virginia	138	1,030,000	7,464	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	20.00	01.20
Washington	297	817,000	2,751	7 -:		
West Virginia	55	363 000	6015	11		
	00	302,000	0,940			
EKIC	432	914,000	2,110	1 <b>m</b> 1		
Full Text Provided by ERIC	49	107,000	2,184 5	Dat <sup>^</sup> provided by	State Education Ag	ency staff.

## Population Characteristics

STATE	1986 Per-Capita Income	1980 Percent Adults 4 Years H.S.	Percent 1980	Voting Fa 1984	or President Change	Percent 1978	Voting Fo 1982	or Congress Change
Alahama	11 336	56 5	487	40.9	1 1	04.1		0.0
Alaska	17 706	82.5	40.7 57 A	49.0 50.6	1.1	46.0	34.0	9.9
Arizona	12 474	72.0	345	JJ.0	2.2	40.2	20.0	12.3
Arkaneae	11 072	72.4	44.0 51.5	40.0	1,U	29.4	34.0	4.6
California	16,073	55.5 70 F	51,5	51,9	0.4	18.6	45.7	27.1
Gamonna	16,904	73.5	49.0	49.8	0.8	39.4	41.3	1.9
Colorado	15,234	78.6	55.8	55.2	-0.6	39.8	42.0	2.2
Connecticut	19,600	70.3	61.0	60.9	-0.1	45.3	45.4	0.1
Delaware	15,010	68.6	54.6	55.6	1.0	37.0	42.3	5.3
District of Columbia	19,397	68.0	35.2	43.3	8.1	18.7	23.0	4.3
Florida	14,646	66.7	48.7	48.4	-0.3	23.6	27.3	3.7
Georgia	13,446	56.4	41.2	42.0	0.8	16.1	22.3	6.2
Hawail	14,886	73.8	43.6	44.3	0.7	38.6	41.1	2.5
Idaho	11,223	73.7	67.8	59.7	-8.1	46.6	48.3	1.7
Illinois	15,586	66.5	57.7	57.1	-0.6	37.4	43.3	5.9
Indiana	13,136	66.4	57.6	55.9	-1.7	38.0	45.6	7.6
Iowa	13,348	71.5	62.8	62.2	-0.6	39.1	47.6	8.5
Kansas	14,650	73.3	56.7	57.1	0.4	40.2	42.8	2.6
Kentucky	11,238	53.1	49. <del>9</del>	50.8	0.9	18.9	26.4	7.5
Louisiana	11,193	57.7	53.1	54.6	1.5	4.7	_	_
Maine	12,790	68.7	64.6	64.6	0.0	46.7	54.4	7.7
Maryland	16.864	67.4	50.0	51.4	1 4	30.7	34 4	37
Massachusetts	17.772	72.2	59.0	57.4	-16	42.9	131	0.7
Michigan	14.775	68.0	59.0	57 7	-2.2	42.0	40.4	0.5
Minnesota	14 994	73.1	70.0	68.4	-2.2	52.0	42.5	0.0
M'ssissippi	9,716	54.8	518	52.2	-1.0	21.0	20.3	
	5,110	54.5	51.0	52.2	0.4	31,0	30.2	5.2
Missouri	13,789	63.5	58.7	57.4	-1.3	44.2	42.0	-2.2
Montana	11,803	74.4	65.0	65.4	0.4	51.7	54.9	3.2
Nebraska	13,742	73.4	56.6	55.8	-0.8	44.6	45.1	0.5
Nevada	15,437	75.5	40.5	41.8	1.3	35.2	35.9	0.7
New Hampshire	15,911	72.3	57.2	53.0	-4.2	40.5	38.5	-2.0
New Jersey	18,626	67.4	54.9	56.4	1.5	36.3	387	24
New Mexico	11,422	68.9	50.7	51.7	10	33.9	415	7.6
New York	17,111	66.3	48.0	50.9	29	33.9	35.6	17
North Carolina	12.438	54.8	43.4	47.3	3.9	25.0	20.8	4.8
North Dakota	12,472	66.4	64.7	63.0	-1.7	48.4	54.2	5.8
Ohio	13 933	67.0	55 A	57.0	0.5	26 4	40 E	<b>C</b> 4
Oklahoma	12 283	66.0	52.9	522	2.5	20.4	42.0	0.1
Oregon	13 328	75.6	61 3	52.5 61.6	0.1	49.2	30,0	0.2
Pennsylvania	14 249	647	51.0	53.0	2.0	40.0	52.0	3.7
Puerto Rico		· · · ·			2.0	40.0	40.7	-0.1
Rhode Island	14 570	R1 6	59 G	55 6	- 2 0	12 6	AC 4	0.5
South Carolina	11 200	54 O	10.0	10 E	-3,0	43.0	40.1	2.5
South Dakota	11 81/	67.0	40.1 67.2	40.0	0,4	21.3	20.0	1.2
Tennessee	12 602	07.9	49.7	0.5.0	-4.3	53.2	55.8	2.6
Tevas	12,002	00.2	40.7	49.0	0.3	33.4	34.5	1.1
16,43	13,470	02.0	44.9	47.3	2.4	23.3	25.9	2.6
Utah	10 981	80.0	64.4	61.5	-2.9	44.2	49.3	5.1
Vermont	13 348	71.0	57.7	59.8	2.1	34.1	43.3	9.2
Virgin Isrands*	7,81	47.0		-	_	80.0 <sup>2</sup>	76.0 <sup>2</sup>	4.0
Virginia	15,408	62.4	47.6	50.6	3.0	27.8	32.8	5.0
Washington	15,009	77.6	57.4	58.4	1.0	35.1	41.7	ð.6
West Virgin:	10.576	56.0	52.8	51.4	-1.4	32.5	385	60
Wisconsln	13,909	69.6	67.3	63.6	-3.7	44.4	421	-23
Wyoming	12,781	77.9	53.3	53.3	0.0	43.8	45.2	-2.5
				2010	0.0		7016	1.4

Irovided by State Ec.ac. In Agency staff.

6

## Resources

STATE	1985 Gross State Product Per School-Age Child	Relative Tax Capacity Index U.S. = 100
Alabama	\$ 61,192	73
Alaska	173,445	250
Arizona	83,790	99
Arkansas	59,057	75
California	106,041	119
Colorado	101,654	121
Connecticut	113,955	124
Delaware	95,018	123
District of Columbia		120
Florida	91,909	105
Georgia	82,522	89
Hawaii	96,358	118
Idaho	52,829	78
Illinois	89,639	97
Indiana	71,231	87
lowa	66,099	87
Kansas	81,225	100
Kentucky	65,980	77
Louisiana	77,137	102
Maine	66,760	88
Maryland	93,852	105
Massachusetts	109,580	111
Michigan	74,859	93
Minnesota	86,031	101
Mississippi	50,230	70
Missouri	83,554	89
Montana	61,579	95
Nebraska	76,943	93
Nevada	115,033	146
New Hampshire	84,721	110
New Jersey	103,564	114
New Mexico	68,987	103
New York	111,856	98
North Carolina	79,175	87
North Dakota	67,544	106
Ohio	77,225	90
Oklahoma	75,178	113
Oregon	73,568	94
Pennsylvania	81,023	88
Puerto Rico	—	—
Rhode Island	82,329	86
South Carolina	63,460	77
South Dakota	56,352	83
Tennessee	72,965	81
Texas	99,300	117
Utah	52,948	81
Vermont	68,780	95
Virgin Islands	—	—
Virginia	91,922	96
Washington	82,697	99
West Virginia Wisconsin Wyoming	57,894 74,897 111,856	79 89 181 13



## Student Needs

STATE	1980 School Age Population	1980 School Age Population In Poverty	1980 Percent School Age Population In Poverty	1970 Percent School Age Population In Poverty	1980 Percent Private Enrollment
Alabama	868,000	197,293	22.7	14.8	7.6
Alaska	92,000	10,140	11.0	29.5	4.2
Arizona	579,000	89,392	15.4	17.5	7.3
Arkansas	496,000	110,774	22.3	31.6	4.0
California	4,685,000	646,492	13.8	12.1	11.1
Colorado	594,000	62,341	10.5	12.3	6.1
Connecticut	639,000	65,260	10.2	7.2	14.3
Delaware	125,000	17,981	14.4	12.0	19.0
District of Columbia	109,000	27,852	25.6	23.2	17.5
Florida	1,795,000	309,246	17.2	18.9	12.0
Georgia	1,236,000	248,395	20.1	24.4	7.2
Hawali	198,000	22,639	11.4	9.7	18.4
Idaho	214,000	27,951	13.1	12.0	2.8
Illinois	2,407,000	334,899	13.9	10.7	15.0
Indiana	1,201,000	129,587	10.8	9.0	8.7
lowa	606,000	64,377	10.6	9.8	9.4
Kansas	469,000	49,026	10.5	11.5	7.5
Kentucky	802,000	165,634	20.7	25.1	9.4
Louisiana	972,000	220,078	22.6	30.1	17.0
Maine	244,000	36,015	14.8	14.2	7.3
Maryland	896,000	103,917	11.6	11.5	12.4
Massachusetts	1,155,000	140,277	12.1	8.4	11.9
Michigan	2,068,000	252,869	12.2	9.1	10.2
Minnesota	867,000	80,614	9.3	9.5	10.5
Mississippi	602,000	179,514	29.8	41.5	9.5
Missouri	1,011,000	138,627	13.7	14.8	13.0
Montana	167,000	20,906	12.5	12.9	4.7
Nebraska	325,000	36,935	11.4	12.0	12.1
Nevada	160,000	14,450	9.0	8.8	4.2
New Hampshire	196,000	17,130	8.7	7.7	11.0
New Jersey	1,531,000	201,386	13.2	8.7	15.6
New Mexico	303,000	64,339	21.2	26.3	6.2
New York	3,560,000	624,641	17.5	12.2	16.8
North Carolina	1,256,000	220,162	17.5	24.0	4.9
North Dakota	137,000	18,831	13.7	15.7	8.4
Ohio	2,308,000	276,912	12.0	9.8	12.1
Oklahoma	623,000	91,764	14.7	19.5	2.7
Oregon	526,000	54,809	10.4	10.3	5.7
Pennsylvania	2,380,000	309,005	13.0	10.6	17.4
Puerto Rico'	—			-	11.7
Rhode Island	187,000	23,195	12.4	11.0	16.8
South Carolina	706,000	142,975	20.3	29.1	7.4
South Dakota	148,000	28,154	19.0	18.3	7.8
Tennessee	975,000	192,899	19.8	24.8	7.7
Texas	3,143,000	568,070	18.1	21.5	4.9
Utah	350,000	33,435	9.6	10.0	1.6
Vermont	110,000	13,940	12.7	11.4	7.3
Virgin Islands <sup>1</sup>			-		21.7
Virgin:a	1,114,000	157,095	14.1	18.2	6.9
Washington	834,000	83,607	10.0	9.3	6.9
West Virginia	414,000	74,209	17.9	24.3	3.2
Wisconsin	1,013,000	95,750	9.5	8.7	16.4
Wyoming	101,000	7,428	7.4	11.2	3.0
			14		
EKIC provided by Stat	e Education A	gency staff.	8		

## Using Background Characteristics As A Basis For Comparing States

In the future, when outcome data are available, it will be desireable to group states on their background features as a basis for forming comparison groups. Shown below is how gross wealth per school-age child might be used to put states in comparison bands.

**Gross State** 

	STATE	Product Per School Age Child
HIGH RELATIVE WEALTH	Alaska Nevada Connecticut Wyoming New York Massachusetts California New Jersey Colorado Texas	\$173,445 115,033 113,955 111,856 111,856 109,580 106,041 103,564 101,654 99,300
MODERATELY HIGH RELATIVE WEALTH	Hawaii Delaware Maryland Virginia Florida Illinois Minnesota New Hampshire Arizona Missouri	96,358 95,018 93,862 91,922 91,909 89,639 86,031 84,721 83,790 83,554
MODERATE RELATIVE WEALTH	Washington Georgia Rhode Island Kansas Pennsylvania North Carolina Ohio Louisiana Nebraska Oklahoma	82,697 82,522 82,329 81,225 81,023 79,175 77,225 77,137 76,943 75,178
MODERATELY LOW RELATIVE WEALTH	Wisconsin Michigan Oregon Tennessee Indiana New Mexico Vermont North Dakota Maine Iowa Kentucky	74,897 74,859 73,568 72,965 71,231 68,987 68,780 67,544 65,760 66,099 65,980
LOW RELATIVE WEALTH	South Carolina Montana Alabama Arkansas West Virginia South Dakota Utah Idaho Mississippi Virgin Islands District of Columbia Puerto A	63,460 61,579 61,192 59,057 57,894 56,352 52,948 52,829 50,230 15 -



## State Regional Groupings

In addition to groupings based on background characteristics, states can be placed in regional clusters. Shown below are regional groupings used by the National Governors' Association to report state-by-state data on education.

SOUTH ATLANTIC	Florida Georgia North Carolina South Carolina Virginia West Virginia	NE₩ ENGLAND	Connecticut Maine Massachusetts New Hampshire Rhode Island Vermont	
WEST SOUTH CENTRAL	Arkansas Louisiana Oklahoma Texas	MID·ATLANTIC	Delaware Maryland New Jersey New York Pennsylvania	
MOUNTAIN	Arizona Colorado Idaho Montana Nevada New Mexico Utah Wyoming	MIDWEST	Illinois Indiana Michigan Minnesota Ohio Wisconsin	
PACIFIC	Alaska California Hawaii Oregon Washington	CENTRAL	Kansas Kansas Missouri Nebraska North Dakota South Dakota	American Samoa
		EAST SOUTH CENTRAL	Alabama Kentucky Mississippi Tennessee	Guam Puerto Rico Trust Territory Virgin Islands

Source. National Governors' Association. Time for Results. 1987. Washington, D.C., National Governors' Association, 1987.



. •

### Explanations and Sources: State Characteristics

- 1. Number of School Districts-Directory of Public Elementary and Secondary Education Agencies: Fall 1986. Statistical Report by Center for Education Statistics, U.S. Department of Education, 1987. (Pre-publication Data)
- 2. Total and School-Aged Population: 1986, 1981, 1976-U.S. Bureau of the Census, "Both Sexes 80-Based Estimates of the Resident Population of States by Age," September, 1987. (Consistent with Current Population Reports. Series P-25, No. 1010 and No. 998.) (School-aged population defined as aged 5-17 years.)
- 3. Total, School-Aged Urban, and Rural Population: 1980-U.S. Bureau of the Census. 1980 Census of the Population, Vol. 1. Characteristics of the Population, Chapter B. General Population Characteristics, Part 2. State Volumes. PC80-1-B2, U.S. Department of Commerce, July, 1982. (School-aged population defined as aged 5-17 years. Urban population defined as population of central cities inside urbanized areas. Rural population defined as population of places of 2,500 or less and "other rural.")
- 4. Per Capita Income: 1986–U.S. Bureau of Economic Analysis, "Regional Differences In Per Capita Income Widen in the 1980's." Release BEA 87-39, U.S. Department of Commerce, August 20, 1987.
- 5. Educational Attainment Level: 1980–U.S. Bureau of the Census. Statistical Abstract of the United States, 1982-83. U.S. Department of Commerce, 1982. (Defined as percent of the population 25 years old and over who have completed at least four years of high school.)
- 6. ACIR Tax Capacity: 1984—Advisory Commission on Intergovernmental Relations. Significant Features of Fiscal Federalism, 1987 Edition. Washington, D.C.: ACIR, June, 1987. (Tax capacity index defined as "amount of revenue each state would raise if it applied a national average set of tax rates to 26 commonly used tax bases. The index... is the per capita tax capacity divided by the per capita average for all states, with the index for the average set at 100." ACIR)
- 7. Gross State Product Per School-age Child. National Governors Association. Results in Education: 1987. Washington, D.C.: National Governors' Association, 1987. Based on U.S. Bureau of Economic Analysis, "Provisional Gross State Estimates," U.S. Department of Commerce, 1986, and U.S. Bureau of the Census. Statistical Abstract of the United States. U.S. Department of Commerce, 1987. (Defined as gross state product divided by population aged 5-17.)

- 8. Percent Voting: 1984, 1982, 1980, 1978–U.S. Bureau of the Census, "Census Bureau Projects Highest Voting-Age Population Total," U.S. Department of Commerce News, CB86-65, April 25, 1986.
- Percent School-Aged Population in Poverty: 1970, 1980-U.S. Bureau of the Census. 1980 Census of the Population, Characteristics of the Population, General Social and Economic Characteristics, U.S. Summary. PC 80-1-C1. U.S. Department of Commerce, December 1983, and U.S. Bureau of the Census. Poverty Status in 1969 and 1959 of Persons and Families, for States, SMSA's, Central Cities, and Counties. 1970 and 1960. Supplementary Report PC(S1)-105. U.S. Department of Commerce, December, 1975. (Defined as related children aged 5-17 with income below the poverty level.)
- 10. Percent Private Enrollment, 1980–National Center for Education Statistics. The Condition of Education, 1983 Education. U.S. Department of Education, no date.



# Educational Policies And Programs



Instructional Time

### STATES' POLICIES ON THE NUMBER OF DAYS (OR HOURS) SCHOOL MUST BE IN SESSION EACH YEAR (As of 1986-87 School Year)

	Number of Days (or Hours)	Exceptions Allowed for Emergency Days	Minimum Number of Days After Exceptions <sup>1</sup>	Sanctions <sup>2</sup> for Providing Less Than Minimum
Alabama	175	Ν	_	Y
Alaska	180	Y	175	Ň
American Samoa	180	Y	175	N
Arizona	175	N		Ŷ
Arkansas	178	Y	Not specified	Ň
California	180	Ν	175	Y
Colorado	990 or 1080 Hrs. <sup>3</sup>	Y	968 or 1056 Hrs.	N
Connecticut	180 and 900 Hrs.	N		Y
Delaware	180	Y	180	Y
District of Columbia	180	Ν	-	Y
Florida	180	Y	Not specified	Y
Georgia	180	Y	178	Y
Hawaii	180	N		N
Idaho	180	Ŷ	Not specified	Y
IIInois	180	Y	Not specified	Y
Indiana	175	Ν	_	NA
lowa	180	N	_	N
Kansas	180	Ŷ	175	Y
Kentucky	175	Ŷ	174	Y
Louisiana	180	Y	175	Y
Maine	175	Y	Not specified	Ŷ
Maryland	180	Y	Not specified	Ň
Massachusetts	180	Y	Not specified	Ŷ
Michigan	180	Y	178	Ŷ
Minnesota	175	Y	170	Y
Mississippi	175	Y	4	N
Missouri	174 and 1044 Hrs.	Y		Ŷ
Montana	180	N	<del></del>	Y
Nebraska	1032 or 1080 Hrs.⁵	N		Y
Nevada	180	Y	177	N
New Hampshire	180	Y	4	N
New Jersey	180	N		Y
New Mexico	180	Y	Not specified	Ŷ
New York	180	Y	175	Y
North Carolina	180	Y	175	Y
North Dakota	180	Y	173	Y
Ohio	182	Y	175	Y
Oklahoma	175	Y	Not specified	Y
Oregon	175	N		Y
Pennsylvania	180	N		Y
Puerto Rico	184	Ν		Ν
Rhode Island	180	Y	170	Y
South Carolina	180	Y	NA	Y
South Dakota	175	Y	165	Y
Tennessee	180	N		Y
Texas	175	Y	Not specified	Y
Utah	180	Y	Not specified	Y
Vermont	175	N		N
Virgin Islands	180	Y	175	N
Virginia	180	Y	175	Y



	Number of Days (or Hours)	Exceptions Allowed for Emergency Days	Minimum Number of Days After Exceptions	Sanctions for Providing Less Than Minimum
Washington	180	Y	Not specified	Y
West Virginia	180	Y	178	Y
Wisconsin	180	Y	175	Y
Wyoming	175	Y	Not specified	Y

1 - Exceptions typically are granted on a case-by case basis after due consideration by state board or chief state school officer.
2 - Typical sanctions are loss of state aid or accreditation.
3 - Colorado - 990 hours per year elementary, 1080 hours junior high, middle or high school.
4 - Determined on individual basis.
5 - Nebraska - 1032 elementary, 1080 secondary.
NA - Data not available.



.

## STATES WITH POLICY ON LENGTH OF SCHOOL DAY IN HOURS OR PERIODS



## STATES MONITORING ENGAGED LEARNING TIME



# School Participation

	AGE RANGE	OF MANDATO	DRY ATTENDANCE				
	Age Students Generally	Age Students Must	Ag9 Through Which Students	State	State Allows Exceptions to Policies on:		
STATE	Enter School	Enter School	Must Remain In School	Entrance Age	Exit Age	Attendance	
Alabama	5	7	16	Y	Y	Y	
Alaska	6	6	18	N	Ň	Ň	
American Samoa	6	6	18	N	N	N	
Arkansas	5 5	8 7	16 16	N Y	Y Y	Y Y	
California	5	6	16	Y	NA	Y	
Colorado	6	7	15	N	N	N	
Connecticut	5	7	16	Ŷ	Ŷ	Y	
District of Columbia	5 5	5 7	15 16	Y Y	N N	Y Y	
Florida	5	6	16	N	Y	Y	
Georgia	5	7	16	Y	N	N	
Hawali	5	6	18	Y	Y	Y	
Illinois	6 5	7	16 15	NA N	NA N	Y Y	
Indiana	NA	7	16	N	N	NA	
lowa	5	7	16	N	Y	N	
Kansas	5	7	15	Ŷ	Ň	Ŷ	
Kentucky	5	6	18	N	Y	Ŷ	
Louisiana	NA	7	17	N	Y	Y	
Maine	5	7	17	N	Y	Y	
Maryland	5	6	15	Y	N	Ŷ	
Massachusetts	NA	6	16	N	N	N	
Minnesota	5 5	6 7	16 16	N N	N N	N N	
Mississippi	5	6	16*	Ŷ	NA	NA	
Missouri	5	7	16	Ý	Ŷ	Ŷ	
Montana	5	7	16	Y	N	NA	
Nebraska	5	7	16	N	N	N	
Nevada	5	6	17	N	N	N	
New Hampshire	NA	6	16	NA	NA	NA	
New Jersey	5	6	16	N	N	N	
New Mexico	5	5	18	Y	Y	Ŷ	
North Carolina	5 5	6 7	16 16	Y Y	Y N	Y N	
North Dakota	6	7	16	N	Y	Y	
Ohio	5	6	18	Ŷ	Ý	Ŷ	
Oklahoma	6	7	18	Y	Y	N	
Oregon	6	7	18	N	Y	Y	
Pennsylvania	5	8	17	N	Ŷ	Ŷ	
Puerto Rico	6	6	18	N	N	Y	
Rhode Island	5	7	16	N	N	N	
South Dakota	5 5	5	10	Y V	Y Al	Ŷ	
Tennessee	6	7	17	N	Y	Ŷ Ŷ	
Texas	NA	7	17	N	N	N	
Utah _	5	6	18	N	Y	Y	
Vermont Viscip Jolando	5	7	16	N	N	N	
Virginistands Virginia	5 5	5 6	16 16	N N	N N	Y	
Machinatan	-	•		~		14	
West Virginia	C A	8 7	18 16	Y V	Y V	Ŷ	
Wisconsin	5	6	18	Ŷ	Ý	I Y	
, ming	5	7	16 0 1	Ň	Ň	Ň	
EKIC Protect revealed - Data not available	*As of 1088		17 25				
	N3 UL 1300						



Data not available.

18

<sup>3</sup>In Kentucky, at least 15 hours per week attendance required.
 <sup>4</sup>New Mexico will require attendance for one-half day in 1988-89.
 <sup>5</sup>In New York, attendance is required where school districts offer.



### COURSE UNITS REQUIRED FOR HIGH SCHOOL GRADUATION (Effective for Class Graduating 1987, Unless Noted)

	1			F	Regular	Diplo	ma					Re	Diff equirer	erent nents	for:	
	English	Social Studies	Mathematics	Science	Art or Music	Foreign Languag	Vocational	Electives	Other	Competency Tes Required	GPA Required	College Bound	Vocational	Honors	Certificate of Attendance	Handicapped
Alabama Alaska American Samoa Arizona Arkansas (1988)	4 4 4 4 4	3 3 3 3 3	2 2 2 (1)	1 2 2 (1)			 1 	6 ½ 7 7 6 ½ 6 ½	31⁄2 1 1 1 1	√ √	2.1 2.0	V				$\checkmark$
California Colorado Connecticut (1988) Delaware District of Columbia	$\begin{array}{c c} 3\\ \hline 4\\ 4\\ 4\\ 4 \end{array}$	3 3 3 2	2 3 2 2	2 2 2 2	(2) (3) 	(2)   1	(3) 		3  1 ½ 2 ½	√ √	2.0		V			NA √ √
Florida Georgia (1988) Hawaii Idaho (1988) Illinois (1988)	4 4 4 3	3 3 4 2 2	3 2 2 2 2	3 2 2 2 1	1/2 		1/2 	9 8 6 6 1⁄2	1 2 3 %	イイイ	2.0	V	V	√ √		~~ ~~
Indiana (1989) Iowa Kansas (1989) Kentucky Louisiana (1988)	$\begin{array}{c c} 4\\ \hline 4\\ 4\\ 4\\ 4\end{array}$	2 3 2 3	2 2 3 <sup>(4)</sup> 3	2 2 2 3				2 9 7 7	1 1 2 2		2.0	~~		√ √	√	NA √
Maine (1989) Maryland (1989) Massachusetts Michigan Minnesota	4 4 	2 3 1 ½ 2	2 3 —	2 2 	1 1 		1 	31⁄2 5 — 9	11⁄2 1 4 1	V				V	~	
Mississippi (1989) Missouri Montana Nebraska Nevada	4 3 4 3	2 2 2 2	2 2 2 2	2 2 1 1	 1 			8 10 10 91⁄2	3 1 21⁄2	√ √		V			~	√ NA √
New Hampshire New Jersey New Mexico New York North Carolina	4 4 4 4	21⁄2 2 3 3 2	2 2 3 1 2	2 1 2 1 2	1/2 1 			4 9 3½ 9	43⁄4 41⁄2 2 31⁄2 1	イイイ				~~		イムイ
North Dakota Ohio Oklahoma Oregon Pennsylvania (1989)	4 3 4 3 4	3 2 2 3½ 3	2 2 2 3	2 1 2 3	(5)	(5)	(5)	5 9 10 8	1 1 2 3	√ √		V		√		V
Puerto Rico Rhode Island (1989) South Carolina South Dakota (1989) Tennessee	3 4 4 4 4	21⁄2 2 3 3 11⁄2	2 2 3 2 2	2 2 2 2 2	  1/2			1 ½ 6 7 8 9	4  1 1/2 1 1/2	V		V		√ √	√	~ ~ ~ ~ ~
Texas (1988) Utah (1988) Vermont Virgin Islands Virginia (1988)	4 3 4 4	21⁄2 3 3 2 3	3 2 (6) 2 (1)	2 (6) 2 (1)	 1 	_ _ 1 _		7 9½ 6 6	21/2 2 - <sup>-</sup> /2 -	マ マ マ	1.5	√ √		~		イイイ



20

		Regular Diploma								R	Diff equire	ierent ments f	or:			
	English	Social Studies	Mathematics	Science	Art or Music	Foreign Language	Vocational	Electives	Other	Competency Test Required	GPA Required	College Bound	Vocational	Honors	Certificate of Attendance	Handicapped
Washington (1983) West Virginia Wisconsin Wyoming	3 4 4 	21⁄2 3 3 —	2 2 2	2 2 2	(7)	(7)	1 (7) —	5½ 8 —	2 2 2			V				√

<sup>1</sup>Arkansas and Virginia require a total of five units in mathematics and science, at least two units in each. <sup>2</sup>California requires one course in five arts or foreign language. <sup>3</sup>Connecticut requires one unit in art or music or in a vocational area. <sup>4</sup>Kentucky requires one additional course in math, science, social studies or voc. ed. <sup>5</sup>Oregon requires one unit in art or music, foreign language, or a vocational area. <sup>6</sup>Vermont requires a total of five courses in mathematics and science. <sup>7</sup>West Virginia requires one unit in fine or practical arts or in a foreign language. NA — Not Available

\*



### STATES COLLECTING DATA ON ENROLLMENTS IN SECONDARY-LEVEL COURSES



American Samoa

.....

22



Yes





Yes, at the level of courses (Biology I)





# Teacher Preparation And Certification

Testing Used By States in Teacher Preparation and Certification

STATE	Admission to Teacher Education Program	Exit From Teacher Education Program	Initial or Provisional Certification	Regular or Permanent Certification	Recer.ification or Maintenance of Certificate
Alabama Alaska American Samoa Arizona	BS NA NA BS	PS, CK, IO NA NA	NA NA BS PS	CK NA NA	NA NA
Arkansas	BS-1	PS, CK	BS, PS, CK	BS, PS, CK	BS, CK-2, PS
California Colorado Connecticut Delaware District of Columbia	BS BS BS	СК	BS 3 BS, CK BS	CK, IO, BS PS, IO BS	PS
Florida Georgia Hawaii Idaho Illinois	BS	Ю	CK IO	BS, PS, IO CK, IO BS, PS, CK, IO BS, PS, CK BS-1, CK-1	СК
Indiana Iowa		ю	BS, PS, CK, IO		
Kansas Kentucky Louisiana	BS∙4 BS BS	ю	BS, PS PS, CK NA	IO NA	NA
Maine Maryland Massachusetts Michigan			BS, PS, CK BS, PS, CK	BS, PS, CK BS·1, PS·1, CK·1, IO	
Minnesota			BS		
Mississippi Missouri	BS, CK BS	IO PS. CK	BS, PS, CK	Ю	
Montana Nebraska Nevada	BS BS	IO IO BS, CK	PS IO BS, CK	PS IO	
New Hampshire New Jersey New Mexico New York North Carolina	BS, IO BS BS	IO BS, PS, CK, IO	BS CK, IO BS, PS, CK BS, PS, CK PS, CK	BS CK, IO BS, PS, CK IO	IO NA IO
North Dakota Ohio Oklahoma Oregon Pennsylvania	BS BS·1 BS·1, PS·1 BS	PS, CK, IO CK-1	PS-1, CK-1 CK BS BS, PS, CK	CK, IO BS	ю
Puerto Rico Bhode Island	BS	СК		ю	
South Carolina South Dakota Tennessee	BS, PS NA BS, PS	IO NA	вз, РЗ СК РЅ, СК	BS, CK IO BS, PS, CK	NA
Texas	BS		PS. CK	10	BS. IO
Utah Vermont	BS	Ю	IO IO	10 10	10
virgin Islands Virginia			BS, PS, CK	ю	



#### **Testing Used By States in Teacher Preparation and Certification**

STATE	Admission	Exit From	Initial	Regular	Recertification
	to Teacher	Teacher	or	or	or
	Education	Education	Provisional	Permanent	Maintenance
	Program	Program	Certification	Certification	of Certificate
Washington West Virginia Wisconsin Wyoming	BS BS BS, IO BS	PS, CK CK-1 CK. 10	BS, PS, CK BS-1, CK-1	BS-1, CK-1	

BS Basic Skills Test PS Professional Skills Test

CK Content Knowledge Test IO In-class Observation

1 Under development.

2 Professional Skills Test required when Content Knowledge Test unavailable

3 Basic Skills Test required for persons with out-of-state certificates.

4 Required of students in public universities.

5 Tests are under development, will be required before student teaching.



×





en ling og hande som her Regel i Stande som her Regel i Stande som her Regel i Stande som her s

Student teaching only.



Extended internship.



23

Data not available.

Puerto Rico



Virgin Islands

STATES OFFERING ALTERNATIVE ROUTES TO CERTIFICATION







American Samoa

.0 0 -0,





### STATES REQUIRING CONTINUING PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT OF TEACHERS



# Effective Schooling Programs

STATE	INSTRUCTIONAL	EFFECTIVE	SCHOOL	PROFESSIONALISM	REGULAR ASSESSMENT	COMPREHENSIVE EFFECTIVE
ALABAMA	LEAD Project Administrator training in man- agement and leadership skills (1987)			Assistance to teachers wichildren with specific behavoral/ learning problems	& USE OF RESULTS Basic Competency test for grades 3 6.9 Grad- uation Exam at grade 11 (1960)	SCHOOLS PROGRAM
ALASKA						
AMEL.CAN SAMOA	Northwest Re gional Lab program with prin- cípals on school management (1985)	Project IOTA model for ob- servation and evaluation of teachers' perfor mance. (1970)	Office of Teacher Services worked witeachers & principals on improving school climate (1985)		Conducted workshops at school sites to discuss test results and curricular applications (1985)	(1985)
ARIZONA	Arizona Principal's Academy focuses on instructional leadership and school improve- ment. (1984)	Research-based techniques to increase student opportunity for success. (1985)	Intro to classroom mgmt techniques and affective attitudes of teachers/students (1986)		ITBS for all 1-8 graders SAT for all 9-12 graders Results are monitored to adjust instruction	
ARKANSAS	Program to de- velop leadership skills for school administrators (1979)	Statewide pro- gram based on Madelyn Hunter strategies for effective teach- ing (1986)	Assist local school districts with development of student discr- pline policies (1983)	Six year plan which requires collaborative goal-setting, cur- ricular planning, & dev. of comple- inentary activities (1983)	Norm referenced test for grades 4,7,10 Criterion referenced test 3,6,8 (1979)	(1984)
CALIFORNIA	Calif. School Leadership Acad- emies train prospective ad- ministrators & superintendents (1983)	Mentor Teacher Program stipends from state to leachers for spe- cific projects (1983)	Providing safe schools, improv- ing guidance & counseling (1983)	Part of the instructional leader- ship program (1983)	Actor imment Program Performance Report to Calif schools for grades 3.6.8 & 12. (1983)	(1983)
COLORADO	(1985)	(1985) {Pilot pr	(1985) ojects while statewide p	(1985) rograms are under considera	(1985) ation}	(1985)
CONNECTICUT	Principals' acad- emy and summer workshops for teachers/admin- istrators (1985)	Summer and In stitute workshops on effective teaching. (1984 & 66)	School Climate questionnaire used to determine areas of improve- ment. (1982)	(See School Climate)	Statewide Assessment Program. Annual work- shops for teachers and curricular coords, prin- cipals & test directors, (1985)	(1982)
DELAWARE	Delaware Princi- pals' Academy provídes monthly workshops for school admin- istrators School review process aimed at instruc- tional leadership. (1984)	Staff Gevelopment for leachers, prin- cipals, etc. (1986)	Staff development activities on the affective needs of adolescent stu- dents. (1986)	Advisory groups. Teachers Center, and Principals Academy promote professional collegiality	Grades 1-8 and 11 take the CTBS every spring. Training provided to school staff in use of results, results reported for immediate access and instructional appli- cation. (1971)	(1986)
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	The Principals' Center provides opportunities for refinement of supervisory skills (1984)	Courses on effec tive teaching are offered to teach ers. (Ongoing)	Examines schools on whether there is a safe environ- ment (1986)	Emphasis is placed on need to involve staff through the DCPS Secondary School Improvement Process (1985)	On site assessment process to determine the strengths and weak- nesses of local schools (1987)	(1987)
FLORIDA	Statewide summer staff development for all principals, (1985)				Statewide assessment program generales both data and training ma- terrals on using test results (1976)	
GEORG!A	Leadership Acad emy Program for administrators on personnel evalua- tion. (1985)	Performance As sessment of Teachers with in- dwidual profes- sional develop- ment plan (1980)	Statewide School Climate Manage ment Program (1987)	Leadership Academy Program (1986)	Statewide criterion- referenced and norm- referenced testing, results published annually with guidance on use, must be used in planning instruction (1982)	(1986)
HAWAII	School Admínis- trator Evaluation Program stresses ínstructionat leadership. (1986)	Personnet poli- cies include a profile of an ef- fective teacher which is almed at making teachers more effective. (1977)	Schools adminis- ter the School Climate Assess- ment Scate. (1985)	Required to inform and involve staffs in budget prep. and execution of school improvement plan- ning. (1984)	School/College Ability Test, Grades 4,6,8,10. Hawaii State Test of Essential Comp. at grades 9-12 Comp. Based Measures for grade 3. (1963)	(1984)



STATE		EFFECTIVE	SCHOOL CLIMATE	PROFESSIONALISM/ COLLEGIALITY	REGULAR ASSESSMENT & USE OF RESULTS	COMPREHENSIVE EFFECTIVE SCHOOLS PROGRAM
IDAHO	Sponsor statewide Fall Conferences (1982)	Annual mini grants for sec curric adapta- tions of courses required for grad- uation (1984)	Time on task. assettive disci pline, Imptemen- tation of 90% attendance (1983)	Development of secondary courses of study required for graduation (1983)	Standardized testing fo: grades 6.8.11 (1986)	(1985)
ILLINOIS	Administrator's Academy is state- wide, regionally- based, providing professional development for schoo admin- istrators (1985)	18 centers are responsible for providing in- service training and staff develop- ment to improve knowledge and skills (1985)	Pilot programs developed in re- sponse to the Blue Ribbon Com- mittee's work will include means to improve the pro- fessional environ- ment of teaching. (1987)	Pilot programs devel- oped in response to the Blue Ribbon Committee work will include means to in- crease teacher partic- ipation in decision making (1987)	Required to maintain a set of established goats (1985)	(1985)
INDIANA	Established the Principal Leader- ship Academy for selected partic- ipants. (1986)	IPLA addresses effective teaching in Phases I.II. III of 50 principals to be added. (1986)	School Climate is the major curric- ulum during Phase II of IPLA (1986)	IPLA provides and promotes networking and collegiality among its partici- pants in the 2-yr training program (1986)	Competency Testing and Remediation for grades 3.6,8 Results used to determine eligibility for state funded remedia- tion (1985)	(1986)
IOWA	Workshop for practicing super- intendents and labs for all principals. (1970)			See Instructional Leadership		See Instructional Leadership
KANSAS	Will be imple- mented this year under a LEAD grant.	Staff make pres- entations regarding a variety of topics dealing with "effective teaching" (Ongoing)	Identifying gifted minority students, enhancing setf- concept, and other areas (Ongoing)	SEA staff work with district staff to pro- mote goal setting, especially curricular concerns. (1978)	Kansas Minimum Com- petency Testing Program tests students in math and reading: staff work with LEA staff to inter- pret results. (1978)	
KENTUCKY	Principals, coun- selors. & directors are required to obtain 42 hours of leadership training each two year cycle. (1985)				Kentucky Essential Skills Test for K-12 yearly (1978)	
LOUISIANA	State legislature mandated that instructional leadership be con- ducted through the Administra- tors' Leadership Academy, (1987)	Key component in statewidc pro- gram entitled "the Louisiana Effec- tive School's Process for Achieving/Main- taining Excel- lence " (1986)	See Effective Teaching	See Effective Teaching,	See Effective Teaching	See Effective Teaching.
MAINE	Príncipal's Academy, Master Teachers: Supts Summer Seminars	Technical Support, seminars, reg'l curriculum network	See Effective Teaching	See Effective Teaching	Maine educ'i assessment and follow-up in service, results in school improvement plans (1985).	In school approval process (1986).
MARYLAND	Academy for Ad- ministrators Annual program, retreat, and two follow-ups; Curric- ulum on role as instructional leader and effec- tive schools, teaching research and practice (1977)	Review of re- search on effec twe teaching, de- velopment of teachers' guides and instructional frameworks (1981-86)	Report of Mary- land Commission on Secondary Education will serve as basis for initiatives (1985)	Teacher Assistance Teams — Teachers help each other with promising practices	Accountability testing program requires data be used to identify at-risk students and Instruction- al support be designed. Functional testing pro- gram requires data be used diagnostically for appropriate assistance.	(1987)
MASSA- CHUSETTS	Commonwealth Leadership Academy (1986)				Assessments based on NAEP for all students in those three grade levels. Extensive workshops on use of results. (1986)	
MICHIGAN	Leadership Training for School Improvement Planning (1987), Workshops and conferences for administrators on educational leadership.	Coalition for staff development/ school improve- ment and effective instruction year- round conferences and regional meetings.	Accreditation Pilot Study Project (MAPS) for elementary/junior/ middle schools (1984); elementary school recognition program. (1985)	Success training (Strategies Used to Cooperatively Create Effective Schools and Staffs) (1987)	Michigan Education Assessment Program (MEAP) (1969) has provided training in the use and reporting of test results since 1971.	Coordination Plan for School Improvement Services (1986), School Improvement Office established. (1987)



STATE	INSTRUCTIONAL LEADERSHIP	EFFECTIVE TEACHING	SCHOOL CLIMATE	PROFESSIONALISM/ COLLEGIALITY	REGULAR ASSESSMENT & USE OF RESULTS	COMPREHENSIVE EFFECTIVE SCHOOLS PROGRAM
MINNESOTA	Training for principals and central office staff of Educational Effectiveness Program sites. (1987)	(1983)	(1983)	(1983)		(1983)
MISSISSIPPI	Administrators trained on how to manage schools/ classrooms effec- tively. (1984)	Administrators trained on becom- ing effective leaders. (1984)	Workshops on discipline held statewide for teachers/admin (1987,	Curriculum planning Involving teachers/ admin. conducted in statewide workshops. (1985)	Instructional manage- ment workshops held on the process of deciding what is to be taught. (1985)	(1985)
MISSOURI	Effective schools workshops pro- vided by the Leadership Acad- emy. (1985)	Performance based evaluation of teachers through obser- vation. (1980)	See Effective Teaching	See Effective Teaching	Will begin a process so to obtain administrators' certificate must pass an assessment process (such as the NASSP assessment model.) (1987)	
MONTANA	(1981)	(Ongoing)	(Ongoing)	Teacher Project Excellence (Ongoing)	(Ongoing)	(Ongoing)
NEBRASKA	Using two stra- tegic planning committees to develop a plan by the year 2000. (1987)	Developed state- wide staff devel- opment effort which addresses the needs of etem/sec. teach- ers/admin. (1983)	See Effective Teaching	See Instructional Leadership	See Effective Teaching	See Effective Teaching.
NEVADA	Nevada School Improvement Project-setting goals, developing strategies for more effective schools. (1987)	Madelyn Hunter- based effective instruction training for teachers. (1982)	Addressed through self- assessment, analysis, planning. (1986)	Collaborative goal setting and develop- ment of complemen- tary activities by teaching staffs (1985)	Tested through the Nevada Proficiency Test- ing Program. (1978)	(1985)
NEW HAMPSHIRE	Principals Acad- emy operated by Adm. Assoc. (1985)				State testing program and California Achieve- ment Tests (1985)	(1986)
NËW JERSEY	Academy for the Advancement of Teaching and Management and use of regional workshops. (1983)	See Instructional Leadership	Ses Instructional Leadership -	Cooperative Relationships Project (1987)	Annual basic skills comprehensive assess- ment program. (1973)	Effective Demonstration School Grants Program (1986)
NEW MEXICO	Staff Accounta- bility Project includes plans for administrative staff development. (1981)	Targets generic teaching skills to be displayed by all classroom teachers. (1981)	Part of essential teaching and administrator competencies.	Covered by the essential teaching and administrator competencies.	Statewide testing system currently being revised and expanded. (1987)	(1974)
NEW YORK	Ten 5-day Summer Principal Academ- ics focus on in- structional leader- ship and effective schools. (1987)	Effective Class- room Manage- ment: a ten-unit, three-day program for teachers and administrators. (1986)	Conference on school climate (1987)	Regents' Paper and invitational confer- ence on teacher's role in decision making. (1986)	Statewide testing program reported to dis- tricts and public each fall. Statewide confer- ences on use of results. (1986)	(1986)
NORTH CAROLINA	North Carolina Leadership Insti- tute for adminis- trators (1979). Principals' Execu- tive Program in in- structional leader- ship. (1984)	Teacher stipends to attend 30 hour seminar on effec- tive teaching theory and prac- tices. (1985)	See Instructional leadership and Ef- fective Teaching. Also, Basic Education Program seeks to reduce disrup- tions. (1985)	Career Development Pilot Program devel- ops teachers' plan- ning, leadership, and mentoring roles. (1985)	Comprehensive statewide testing program includes regional technical assistance to local test coordinators on report- ing and instructional in- terpretation. (1978)	(1978)
NCRTH DAKOTA	LEAD Project (1987)	Pilot school im- plementing alter- native format for school accredita- tion using the "outcomes-based evaluation" pro- cedure. (1986)				(1984)
оню	OASIS is a 5 day training session for school admin- Istrators on school leadership, (1982)	Entry-year Pro- grams are designed to meet the needs of first- year teachers. (1987)	Part of compre- hensive effective- schools effort. (1981)	Teacher development program to support in-service training, (1979)	Statewide testing programs, (1986)	(1981)



STATE		EFFECTIVE TEACHING	SCHOOL CLIMATE	ROFESSIONALISM/ COLLEGIALITY	REGULAR ASSESSMENT	COMPREHENSIVE EFFECTIVE SCHOOLS PROGRAM
OKLAHOMA	State mandated criteria and training sessions for administrators in state. (1985) LEAD Project (1987)	See Instructional Leadership	Master Plan for improvement based on assessment of all school facilities (1982) See also Instructional Leadership.	State mandated performance criteria have components for training to enhance collegiality. Staff development required by state law. (1982)	State mandated norm referenced testing. Writ- ing assessment man- dated through 1989. State mandated testing for entry level teachers/ admin. before certili- cation. (1985)	(1981)
OREGON	Follows a process Saveloped by NASSP for in- structional leader- ship of school administrators. (1983)	State efforts have focused on defin- ing required cur- riculum goals and provided work- shops for collegial analysis. (1984)	Provide models for improving use of instructional time. (1984)	See Effective Teaching	Assessment results used to monitor curriculum goals and student in- structional decisions. (1980)	(1984)
PENNSYLVANIA	Pennsylvanian Principals' acad- emy-staff develop- ment to improve management and instructional leadership. (1987)	Each LEA must must prepare plan for induction of new teachers and continuing educa- tion of existing teachers. (1983)	(1983)	(1986)	Education Quality assessment (EQA) evalu- ates extent to which schcols meet 12 state goals of quality education. Competencies assessed in math and reading. (1970 and 1984)	(1984)
PUERTO RICO	The School Diroc- tors Academy organized to improve mgmt. conditions and school effec- tiveness. (1987)	In∙service training for new teachers (1985)	School Safety Guard Corps was organized for safety and protec- tion of life/prop- erty. (1985)	Supervisory and curriculum council advises and sets goals on basis of info about pupil per- formance. (1964)	See Professionalism/ Collegiality	(1987)
RHODE ISLAND	Instructionat LegJership train- ing sessions of- fered to principals participating in the Effective Schools Project. (1984)		Workshops to acquaint staff with school climate survey (1984)	School site manage- ment—grants to two large districts on decentralization and teacher decision- making. (1987)	Students tested grades 3.6,8, & 10; workshops on use of results for indi- vidual assessment and program development. (1985)	(1984)
SOUTH CAROLINA	Administrator's Leadership Acad- emy annually con- ducts workshops for school admin- istrators. (1981)	The ALA cospon- sors an instruc- tional forum for administrators aimed at instruc- tional techniques and ctassroom mgmt. (1981)	The ALA conducts seminars on assertive disci- pline and atter- natives to suspension. (1981)	The ALA cosponsors biannual instructional forums and creative problem solving workshops for these issues. (1981)	The ALA offers annual seminars on using test data to assess teaching and curriculum effective- ness. (1981)	'1981)
SOUTH DAKOTA	Principals' Lead- ership Academy, a 2-phase training program for administrators. (1985)	Better Shools Program is a series of 16 work- shops for educa- tors. (1986)	See Effective Teaching	Management Trends program provided by MCREL lab aimed at administrators. (1987)	Workshops planned this fall.	(1987)
TENNESSEE	Academy for Schoot Leaders is a requirement for atl schoot admin- istrators. (1984)	Series of pro- grams and work- shops. (1984)	Alternative schoot program and in- school suspension program. (1984)		Education Assessment Conferences used to help determine needs by interpreting test data. (1986)	(1984)
TEXAS	Required 36 hours of instructional leadership training for all admin- istrators. (1985)	Statewide teacher evaluation form adopted and utilized to place teachers on career ladder. (1985)	School climate assessment instruments developed for school accred- itation. (1986)	Statewide program for in service training of administrators will focus on professional growth. (1986)	TABS and TEAMS given to all students in certain grades. (1984)	(1984)
UTAH	Principats Acad- emy focuses on In service training in improving the role as instruc- tional leader. (1984)	(1984)	Principals Academy. (1984)	See Instructional Leadership	Ulah Slatewide Assess- ment lested every 3 years. (1975)	(1984)
VERMONT	Vermont Leader- ship Academy (1984)		Annual assess- ment of school climate now required in State School Approval Standards. (1984)		Regular assessment of student progress and use of results now re- quíred in SAS. (1984)	(1984)
/IRGIN SLANDS	Development and dissemination of a principal's hand- book. (1987)			Staff development committee composed of school admin, and supt. office staff prepare activities during monthty prin- cipals' meeting. (1985)	Monthly report of schoot volunteer services pro- gram. Standardized testing initiated. (1985)	
				31 45		

STATE		FFFECTIVE TEACHING	SCHOOL CLIMATE	PROFESSIONALISMI COLLEGIALITY	REGULAR ASSESSMENT & USE OF RESULTS	COMPREHENSIVE EFFECTIVE SCHOOLS PROGRAM
VIRGINIA	Principal's Insti- tute offers inten- sive residential training program for 5 days to improve the eval- uation of instruc- tion. (1981)	Rural School Effectiveness Project and Urban School Effective- ness Project provides training for administrators and teachers (1982)	School Climate Project works with 9 schools to create exemplary school climate (1985)	Technical assistance and the development of the Standards of Learning Program. (1970) Beginning Teacher Assistance Program (1985)	Annual conference on testing open to all school and university personnel (1974)	(1970)
WASHING.JN	Project Leadership sponsored by Wash. Assn Sch. Admin (1980 and 1987)	In service training in academic effi- ciency and effec- tive teaching (1985)	School based mgmt to allow individual bulluing mgmt (1985)	Mentor teachers to provide on job assis- tance to beginning teachers (198C)	All students in grades 4,8, and 10 tested an- nually in basic skills (1976)	(1980)
WEST VIRGINIA	Principal s acad emy provides a 17 day extensive training to select principals. (1984)	Teachers Acad emy provides an extensive 2-week training on teach- er effectiveness research. Partici- pants are nomi- nated (1986)	Puncipals Academy (1984)	Principals Academy (1984)	Annual evaluation of student progress and analyses of evaluation results	See instructional Leadership
WISCONSIN	Administration Academy—LEAD program. (1987) Assessment Center and school district standards.	Characteristics of Effective Schools and The Stan- dards of Excel- lence programs (1973)	See Effective Teaching	See Effective Schooling	Competency based test- ing using objective- referenced tests in several subjects. (1976)	(1985)
WYOMING	Executive Seminar held annually to update adminis- trators on a wide variety of issues (1970)				State funded assessment simultaneous with and addressing same areas as NAEP. (1983)	(1987)

Test Abbreviations: ITBS = lowa Test of Basic Skills SAT = Stanford Achievement Test CTBS = Comprehensive Test of Basic Skills NAEP = National Assessment of Educational Progress TABS = Texas Assessment of Basic Skills



## Educational Outcomes



47

,

### **Educational Outcomes** (A Note on Efforts for the Future)

Data Gaps. This report and others like it can amass an impressive number of state level statistics on education. But there are major gaps. Among statistics on educational background factors, it is difficult to account for differences in cost of living when measuring per-pupil wealth, for example, and we lack valid, direct measures of the proportion of students who are handicapped or limited in English. Among process features of educational programs, a true measure of the quality of teachers' professional performance is not available and will be difficult and expensive to obtain.

Missing entirely from this report are state-level measures of student outcomes, the ultimate accomplishments of the educational system. Even the most rudimentary accomplishment succeeding in getting students to school—is plagued by inconsistencies in measuring student attendance. Other outcomes that should be reported to reflect the multiple goals of education—school completion rates, achievement, and how students do after leaving school are affected by differences in how states degine enrollments, and current data for adjusting for migration across state lines are not available.

Most states have in place comprehensive programs for testing student achievement, but to measure achievement, each state uses a virtually unique combination of tests, time of year when tests are administered, and grade levels tested. Standard tests used across states, such as the College Board or ACT collegeaptitude tests' are neither appropriate for evaluating high school achievement, nor do they report on comparable samples of students across states. Follow-up surveys of what happens to students after elementary and secondary schooling have been too expensive for most states to undertake and maintain periodically.

While outcome data meeting rigorous technical standards are not now available, steps are being taken to alleviate the problems. This year, the states are adopting new, standard definitions and procedures for counting schools and enrollments, a first step in working toward consistent and valid graduation-rate data, and standard definitions for counting dropouts and other categories of students who do not graduate have been developed and are being considered. This year, states will begin planning together for compilation of follow-up data, either collected anew or derived from surveys of employment and higher education.

The most exciting prospect is that state-level achievement data may be available by 1990 or 1991. The states are working with the federal government to plan for the expansion of the National Assessment of Educational Progress to produce statelevel results. This is a momentous undertaking in education, because it not only offers the prospect of valid, state-comparative date on achievement, it also entails arriving for the first time at a consensus among states on what should be measured. If this effort is successful in reaching a workable consensus, the states and the Center for Education Statistics in the U.S. Department of Education will work together to obtain state-comparative data in mathematics in 1990 and other subjects in 1992. Legislation is before Congress this fall to allow NAEP to expand to state-level data-collection.

Educators and data specialists in state and local school systems and in federal agencies are working to provide more complete and useful information. This summer, the National Governors' Association released its report on education, Results in Education. 1987. The report demonstrates the governors' belief in the value of information for assessing and guiding the in rovement of education, but the report includes blank columns. These are for important areas of education where data are not now available, including them as markers presses the education system to fill the gaps, and the system is responding.

Next Steps. Filling out state-level indicators in education is crucial to providing information that can be used validly and constructively.

In order to know how the system is doing we need sound data on educational outcomes; we need to fill out that component of the model. Outcome data must be interpreted in terms of demographic or regional clusters. For example, low- or high-wealth states might want to compare themselves to see how they are doing in relation to other states facing similar circumstances, and states in a relatively homogenous regir, like the Great Lakes areas might want to compare themselves. These comparisons can be made tc guide short-range interpretations of relative standing without removing the principle that performance differences based on demographic factors should be reduced and removed, ultimately.

In addition, outcomes must be related at least tentatively to educational inputs, so policymakers and decision makers have some clues as to where to place their efforts. If patterns indicate that high-performing or improving states have certain program features in common, other states might want to look at those features, in light of other data, as well, as areas where improvements might be made.

Over the long run, a comprehensive set of state-level indicators could tell a policymaker or program manager that, under given environmental conditions, certain policies seem to be associated with certain outcomes. Such indicators could not singly, definitively, and conclusively guide policy, but they could add immensely to the information upon which policy is made.



### **ORDERING INFORMATION:**

	Copies (1-20 copies) @ \$10.50 per copy	-	\$
···	Copies (21-50 copies) @ \$ 9.00 per copy	=	\$
	Copies (51 + copies) @ \$ 7.50 per copy	=	\$
	Amount Enclosed		S



Ship to:	
Name	
Address	
State	Zip
Phone No. (	)

Mail Orders to:

State Education Assessment Center Council of Chief State School Officers 400 N. Capitol St., N.W. — Suite 379 Washington, D.C. 20001

